## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

## AT BECKLEY

CARROL L. OWENS,

Petitioner,

V.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:19-cv-00579

D.L. YOUNG, Warden,

Respondent.

## **ORDER**

Pending is Petitioner Carrol L. Owens' Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus [Doc. 1], filed August 7, 2019. This action was previously referred to the Honorable Cheryl A. Eifert, United States Magistrate Judge, for submission of proposed findings and a recommendation ("PF&R"). Magistrate Judge Eifert filed her PF&R on July 20, 2020 [Doc. 12]. Magistrate Judge Eifert recommended that the Court grant the Respondent's request for dismissal, dismiss the petition, and dismiss the matter from the Court's docket.

The Court need not review, under a de novo or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *see also* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (emphasis added) ("A judge of the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations *to which objection is made.*"). Failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of de novo review and the Petitioner's right to appeal the Court's order. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *see also United States v. De Leon-Ramirez*, 925 F.3d 177, 181 (4th Cir. 2019) (parties may not typically "appeal a

magistrate judge's findings that were not objected to below, as § 636(b) doesn't require de novo review absent objection."); *Snyder v. Ridenour*, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989). Further, the Court need not conduct de novo review when a party "makes general and conclusory objections that do not direct the Court to a specific error in the magistrate's proposed findings and recommendations." *Orpiano v. Johnson*, 687 F.2d 44, 47 (4th Cir. 1982). Objections in this case were due on August 6, 2020. No objections were filed.

Accordingly, the Court **ADOPTS** the PF&R [Doc. 12], **GRANTS** the Respondent's request for dismissal [Doc. 7], **DISMISSES** the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus [Doc. 1], and **DISMISSES** the matter.

The Court directs the Clerk to transmit a copy of this Order to any counsel of record and any unrepresented party herein.

ENTERED: September 9, 2020

